

*Town of Canandaigua*

5440 Routes 5 & 20 West  
Canandaigua, New York 14424

**PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS (PDR) PROGRAM  
2017 APPLICATIONS PRESENTATION AND RANKINGS**

**JOINT MEETING:  
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION BOARD  
AGRICULTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2017, 5:30 P.M.**

**MINUTES—FILED WITH TOWN CLERK**

**Environmental Conservation Board Board Members Present:** Michael Bloom  
Justin Damann  
Edith Davey  
Kimberly Foreman  
Saralinda Hooker  
Joyce Marthaller, *Chairperson*  
Pat Venezia

**Agricultural Advisory Committee Members Present:** Gary Davis  
Bob DiCarlo  
Ray Henry  
Tim Riley  
Fernando Soberon  
Mark Stryker, *Chairperson*

**Town Representatives:** Doug Finch, *Town Manager*  
Sarah Reynolds, *Planning and Finance*

**Also Attending:** Jean Bucher  
Dan Ship  
Kimberly and Tim Stryker

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

Mr. Finch convened the Joint Meeting of the Environmental Conservation Board and the Agricultural Advisory Committee at 5:30 p.m. for the purpose of reviewing and ranking

the Purchase of Development Rights (PDR) applications received by the Town during this application cycle.

**2. INTRODUCTION**

Mr. Finch reviewed the 2017–2018 PDR Application Ranking Criteria and the 20 questions to be answered for each application. He reviewed the Town’s Strategic Farmland Protection Area and the Padelford Brook Greenway and explained that properties within these delineated areas are noted on the informational presentation of all applications which has been prepared by Ms. Reynolds.

Mr. Finch said that the questions on the ranking sheet are based upon the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets ranking criteria.

Although Zack Odell of the Finger Lakes Land Trust could not be present this evening, Mr. Finch said that the Finger Lakes Land Trust is expected to join the Town as a partner in this year’s PDR application cycle.

The graphic presentation of each application included soil statistics, Town map and property boundaries, farm information and the narratives provided by the applicants, among a number of other statistics and data.

Mr. Finch explained that Application #9 was combined with Application #11.

**3. 2017–18 PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS (PDR) PROCESS AND TIMELINE**

**May 2017**

PDR information letter mailed to agricultural landowners with ≥ 50 acres

**June 1–September 29, 2017**

Application window open; solicitations via press release, website, listserv, and social media

**October 2017**

Internal review of applications

**November 2, 2017**

Review/Ranking Team ranks applications

**November–December 2017**

Ranking Team recommends top application(s) for approval by Town Board

**December 2017–January 2018**

Town and Finger Lakes Land Trust divide top applications for submission by each entity

**December 2017–March 2018**

Town and applicant(s) finalize Town application(s)

**Possibly January 2018**

Top applications referred to Ontario County Agricultural Review Board

**May 2018**

Anticipated application window for NYS Ag and Markets

**Fall–Winter 2018**

Anticipated awards of PDRs from NYS Ag and Markets

**4. INTERNAL REVIEW PROCESS FOR EACH APPLICATION RECEIVED**

- Parcel(s) mapped
- Documented Ag Plan scores for each parcel according to ratings in Agricultural Enhancement Plan
- Soil types and percentages calculated for each parcel
- Review farm narratives

**5. AG PLAN SCORE EXPLANATIONS**

**Soil Composition**

Points awarded to each acre based on soil types (prime, statewide importance, prime if drained, and other. Applications with more acres with quality soils will achieve a higher rating. For multi-parcel applications, points are combined.

**Natural Resources**

Points awarded to entire parcel based on proximity to: Canandaigua Lake, a stream, or a NYSDEC wetland; plus, the parcel’s location in/out of the Canandaigua Lake Watershed. For multi-parcel applications, points are averaged.

**Development Pressure**

Points awarded to entire parcel based on proximity to a sewer district, water district, or State/County highway; plus, any known/suspected developer interest in said parcel. For multi-parcel applications, points are averaged.

**Proximity to Protected Land**

Points awarded to entire parcel based on proximity to land that is already protected by a PDR. For multi-parcel applications, points are averaged.

**Location Inside or Outside of Protection Areas**

Applications are given a “Yes” or “No” score for location inside or outside of both the Strategic Farmland Protection Area and the Padelford Brook Greenway.

**6. REVIEW/RANKING TEAM PROCESS****Criteria for Consideration**

- Farm size
- Prime soil types
- Development pressure
- Availability of public utilities
- Long-term potential for farmland to remain active
- Percentage used for agricultural production
- Proximity to natural resources
- Demonstration of farm management
- Future likelihood of success in farming
- Proximity to other protected farms
- Completeness of the application
- Site survey, information provided
- Tell the story about the farm/operations
- Use illustrations
- Limit exclusions (deductions); clearly show on site plan
- Show buffers to areas: home sites, areas to be held back for one reason or another

**7. RANKING TEAM SCORING PROCESS**

- Ranking team members answer questions on Ranking Sheet based on criteria (above), prepared spreadsheets, and soils information, plus all submitted application materials.
- Ranking Sheet scores tallied; lowest score discarded. Take average of all Ranking Team scores.
- Ranking Sheet scores and Ag Plan scores used to make final decisions. Ag Plan scores used as a guide.

**8. 2017 PDR APPLICATIONS**

**⑤ APPLICATION #1**

**Burkard/Simmons, 5255 West Avenue Extension**

Acres Prime Soils: 54.4.

% Prime Soils: 98.0%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 0

% Soils Statewide Importance: 0.00%

55.1 acres in one parcel

Water: Private

Sewer: Private

Assessed Value (2017): Land \$144,000. Total \$239,000

Zoning District: AR-2

Ag District: 1

Anticipated \$/ac PDF

*Narrative:*

Property borders the City of Canandaigua. Farmland is leased and farmed by Paul Landyout. Production yields unknown. Crop types unknown. 98 percent Prime soils. Long-term plans: Family wishes to continue farming the land; daughter wishes to locate veterinary research facility in one of the existing buildings on the property once graduated.

**⑤ APPLICATION #2**

**Outhouse, 5620 County Road 30**

Acres Prime Soils: 21.75

% Prime Soils: 38.2%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 15.34

% Soils of Statewide Importance: 27.0%

56.8 acres in one parcel

Water: Public

Sewer: Private

Assessed Value (2017): Land \$131,000. Total \$226,000

Zoning District: AR-2  
Ag District: 1  
Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

42.5 tillable acres, currently used for crop farming; land farmed by Kim-Mar Farms, well established farm; 2016 yields: 50 bushels/acre of soy beans; family farms no additional land; long-term plans: keep the land in farming, children/grandchildren are interested in continuing the farm after Mr. and Mrs. Outhouse retire; son-in-law owns nearby (almost adjoining) farm and interested in purchasing the land for farm use; airport expansion puts pressure on the land to be sold—landowner does not want to see this happen.

⑤ **APPLICATION #3**

**Bucher, 2666 Cooley Road**

Acres Prime Soils: 36.7  
% Prime Soils: 64.9%  
Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 4.7  
% Soils of Statewide Importance: 8.30%  
56.5 acres in one parcel  
Water: Public  
Sewer: Private  
Assessed Value (2017): Land \$153,000. Total \$293,000  
Zoning District: AR-1  
Ag District: 1  
Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

Not owner farmed, farmed by FA-BA Farms; crop type/yields unknown; owner wishes to keep property in ag production, passionate about protecting local farms/ag community; land has old organic apple orchard on site, approximately dozen trees; parcel adjoins Brock Acres PDR.

⑤ **APPLICATION #4**

**Ship, 4620 North Road**

Acres Prime Soils: 48.7  
% Prime Soils: 75.90%  
Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 6  
% Soils of Statewide Importance: 9.40%  
64 acres in one parcel  
Water: Public  
Sewer: Private  
Assessed Value (2017): Land \$140,600. Total \$320,000  
Zoning District: AR-2  
Ag District: 1  
Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

Land is rented and then ultimately sub-rented to FA-BA Farms for growing forage crops, used 100 percent for dairy feed; these crops include silage corn, forage triticale and legumes such as red clover and alfalfa; 2016 yields: triticale crop average 16–18 tons/acre of moist weight chopped forage.

Supporting information FA-BA Farms from applicant: This dairyman is a well-organized and efficient farmer. He milks 500 cows and also feeds 400 head of young stock. In addition to owned land, he rents many acres including the applicant’s farm. He sold over 13,000,000# of milk last year.

Long-term planning: Landowner wishes to continue current leasing arrangement with FA-BA Farms. If FA-BA Farms should ever wish to discontinue lease, landowner anticipates another dairy farmer would take over the lease.

Development pressure: Farm is one-half mile from the City of Canandaigua, Canandaigua Academy and residential developments (existing and developing).

⑤ **APPLICATION #5**

**Kim-Mark Farms/Stryker (4 parcels)**

Acres Prime Soils: 108.04

% Prime Soils: 23.30%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 156.31

% Soils of Statewide Importance: 33.60%

465.5 acres in application

Water (all 4 parcels): Public

Sewer (all 4 parcels): Private

Assessed Value (2017):

|    |                 |                  |
|----|-----------------|------------------|
| A) | Land \$115,000  | Total \$115,000  |
| B) | Land \$41,000   | Total \$233,500  |
| C) | Land: \$226,800 | Total: \$226,800 |
| D) | Land: \$351,000 | Total \$691,000  |

Zoning District (all 4 parcels): AR-2

Ag District (all 4 parcels): 1

Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

4 parcels included in application; all owner farmed; farming operation: family owned and operated with additional staff (2 full time, 5 part time); acreage: 600 owned, 1,378 leased; 2,758.96 total farmland acres; cropland 1,730.2 acres (includes WHIP acres); cash crops, cattle, vegetables.

Average Crop Yield 2016:

|       |                  |
|-------|------------------|
| Corn  | 175 bushels/acre |
| Wheat | 80 bushels/acre  |

Soybeans 55 bushels/acre  
 Hay 2 tons/acre  
 Straw 1.5 tons/acre  
 Beef Cows 2.5 lbs./day

Long-term planning: Family has plans for children to take over once parents retire.

Five years: More cattle, pasture and better concentration on producing higher yields on the lands we farm. Better small calf facility to care for the little ones. Purchase additional 60 acres west of farm that we have rented since 2000. Continuing to work toward niche markets and promote local agriculture.

Ten years: Farm market. Building a quality farm market operation with U-Pick, hayrides and self-service. Continue to work toward niche markets and promote local agriculture. Purchase of properties we currently rent.

Fifteen years: Christmas Tree farm. Continue to work toward niche markets and promote local agriculture. Bring tourism to our farm.

⑤ APPLICATION #6

**Riley, 3594 Woolhouse Road**

Acres Prime Soils: 31.27

% Prime Soils: 38.9%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 3.25

% Soils of Statewide Importance: 4.00%

80.6 acres in one parcel

Water: Private

Sewer: Private

Assessed Value (2017): Land \$142,000. Total \$315,000

Zoning District: AR-2

Ag District: 1

Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

Farm is owner operated, one parcel; 33 acres of parcel are leased by dairy farmer for hay production; pasture-raised livestock (lamb, poultry, eggs, pork) and hay; rotational farming.

Yields (2015–2017) (yields are average/year):

70–100 lambs

100 layers

400 pasture-raised meat birds

15 pigs

182 bales of hay/acre (on 33 leased acres)



Long-term planning: Family plans to continue farming the land; family wishes to expand rotational grazing pastures and one add cattle to the mix; additional future expansion would include additional land to grow hay and possibly crops (spelt); currently restoring an old English barn on the property; additional buildings needed for hay/straw storage; daughter is very active in farming operations (manages the poultry and pork operations); daughter will take over the farm when parents retire.

**⑤ APPLICATION #7  
Damann, Rossier Road**

Acres Prime Soils: 21.3

% Prime Soils: 11.7%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 60.93

% Soils of Statewide Importance: 33.50%

182 acres in three parcels

Water: (all 3 parcels): Private

Sewer: (all 3 parcels): Private

Assessed Value (2017):

|    |                 |                  |
|----|-----------------|------------------|
| A) | Land \$103,000  | Total \$192,000  |
| B) | Land \$25,400   | Total \$25,400   |
| C) | Land: \$205,000 | Total: \$211,000 |

Zoning District (all 3 parcels): AR-2

Ag District (all 3 parcels): 1

Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

Farming operation: Farm is family owned; roughly 90 acres is leased to Pleasure Acres for cash crop production (corn, soybean, wheat, etc.); hops are grown on 2 acres. Planned expansion of current operations: plan to expand hop production and add malting barley; wish to add organic vegetable and flower production. Long-term planning: Farm is approximately 150 years old, five generations; children plan to take over the farm when parents retire. Development pressure: Nearby properties have been sold/subdivided for residential development; owner has been approach multiple times by residential developers.

**⑤ APPLICATION #8  
Johnson, 3990 Middle Cheshire Road**

Acres Prime Soils: 21.87

% Prime Soils: 21.1%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 6.7

% Soils of Statewide Importance: 20.70%

103.7 acres in one parcel

Water: Public

Sewer: Private

Assessed Value (2017): Land \$170,000. Total \$198,000

Zoning District: AR-2  
Ag District: 1  
Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

Pleasure Acres Farms

Farming operation: 1,300 acres (yields unknown): corn, soybeans, wheat, oats, hay, Black Angus cattle. Long-term planning: Wish to continue farming the land, acquire additional land, and continue to expand the farming operation. Succession: Family plans to keep farming operation in the family with successors already chosen. Development pressure: Morrell Builders project adjacent to farm; owners have been approached by the builders.

⑤ **APPLICATION #9**

Combined with Application #11

⑤ **APPLICATION #10**

**Purdy, 1850 Sand Hill Road**

Acres Prime Soils: 63.73

% Prime Soils: 34.1%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 27.54

% Soils of Statewide Importance: 14.70%

186 acres in one parcel

Water: Private

Sewer: Private

Assessed Value (2017): Land \$285,000. Total \$475,000

Ag District: 1

Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

Farm is family owned and operated, Pendleton Farms LLC; landowner is a partner in this LLC along with brothers-in-law; Sonnenberg Gardens wall rocks were quarried from this property. Farming operation: Family works the farm along with 5 full-time employees; 180 acres grapes; 500 acres cash crops (soybeans, wheat) and hops.

Sand Hill property: 140 tillable acres of corn, soybeans, wheat and hay.

Long-term planning: Wish to add vineyard to Sand Hill property; children, niece/nephews plan to take over the farming operation when parents retire; development pressure: property borders Farmington (increase in development in recent years).

⑤ **APPLICATION #11**

**Westbrook/Lacrosse, State Route 21 and Middle Cheshire Road**

Acres Prime Soils: 53.08

% Prime Soils: 39.7%

Acres Soils of Statewide Importance: 39.44

% Soils of Statewide Importance: 29.50%  
 133.8 acres in two parcels  
 Water (both parcels): Public  
 Sewer: Parcel A: Private  
           Parcel B: Public  
 Assessed Value (2017):  
           Parcel A: Land \$342,000. Total \$350,500  
           Parcel B: Land \$64,000. Total \$303,000  
 Zoning District (both parcels): R-1-20  
 Ag District:  
           Parcel A: 1  
           Parcel B:     None  
 Anticipated \$/ac PDR

*Narrative:*

Farming operation: 100 acres, 95 acres included in PDR application; 5 acres hops and free range chickens (leased by ?); 20 acres beef cattle (leased by Stan Sutton); 70 acres cash crops (leased by Stan Sutton); bees/honey production. Long-term planning: Succession plans unknown for State Route 21 property; bee farmer wishes to expand honey production on Middle Cheshire Road property. Development pressure: Loss of habitat for bees = loss of “farmland” for bee operation; significant and ongoing residential development nearby; public sewers within 2,000 feet of State Route 21 property.

**9. PARCEL RATINGS SCORES FROM AGRICULTURAL ENHANCEMENT PLAN**

The following tables provide statistics for each application based on the following indicators:

- Soil composition
- Presence of or proximity to natural resources
- Development pressure
- Proximity to a parcel/farm already protected by PDR
- Location inside or outside of the Strategic Farmland Protection Area and the Padelford Brook Greenway

## SOIL COMPOSITION

Points awarded to each acre based on soil types (Prime, Statewide Importance, Prime If Drained, and other). Applications with more acres with quality soils will achieve a higher rating. For multi-parcel applications, points are combined. (Application #11 includes a parcel for which there is no soil rating (no tilled acres); the soil score only applies to one of the parcels in that application.)

### 2017–2018 PDR Applications Scores from Agricultural Enhancement Plan

| App. # | Soils        | Ag Plan Ratings   |                      |          |       | Total Acres | In SFPA | In Pdlfd. Green. |
|--------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|-------|-------------|---------|------------------|
|        |              | Natural Resources | Development Pressure | Near PDR |       |             |         |                  |
| 5      | <b>1,769</b> | 50                | 150                  | 400      | 465.5 | Yes         | Yes     |                  |
| 10     | <b>880</b>   | 100               | 0                    | 0        | 186   | Yes         | Yes     |                  |
| 11     | <b>567</b>   | 50                | 400                  | 0        | 133.8 | No          | No      |                  |
| 4      | <b>462</b>   | 100               | 50                   | 0        | 64    | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 1      | <b>415</b>   | 100               | 250                  | 0        | 55.5  | No          | No      |                  |
| 7      | <b>407</b>   | 100               | 16.67                | 0        | 182.8 | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 3      | <b>389</b>   | 50                | 50                   | 2,000    | 56.5  | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 8      | <b>354</b>   | 150               | 250                  | 2,000    | 103.7 | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 2      | <b>294</b>   | 100               | 100                  | 400      | 56.8  | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 6      | <b>232</b>   | 150               | 0                    | 0        | 80.6  | Yes         | No      |                  |

## NATURAL RESOURCES

Points awarded to entire parcel based on proximity to: Canandaigua Lake, a stream, or a NYSDEC wetland, plus the parcel's location in/outside of Canandaigua Lake Watershed. For multi-parcel applications, points are averaged.

### 2017–2018 PDR Applications Scores from Agricultural Enhancement Plan

| App. # | Soils | Ag Plan Ratings          |                      |          |       | Total Acres | In SFPA | In Pdlfd. Green. |
|--------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------|-------|-------------|---------|------------------|
|        |       | <b>Natural Resources</b> | Development Pressure | Near PDR |       |             |         |                  |
| 8      | 354   | <b>150</b>               | 250                  | 2,000    | 103.7 | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 6      | 232   | <b>150</b>               | 0                    | 0        | 80.6  | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 10     | 880   | <b>100</b>               | 0                    | 0        | 186   | Yes         | Yes     |                  |
| 7      | 407   | <b>100</b>               | 16.67                | 0        | 182.8 | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 4      | 462   | <b>100</b>               | 50                   | 0        | 64    | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 2      | 294   | <b>100</b>               | 100                  | 400      | 56.8  | Yes         | No      |                  |
| 1      | 415   | <b>100</b>               | 250                  | 0        | 55.5  | No          | No      |                  |
| 5      | 1,769 | <b>50</b>                | 150                  | 400      | 465.5 | Yes         | Yes     |                  |
| 11     | 567   | <b>50</b>                | 400                  | 0        | 133.8 | No          | No      |                  |
| 3      | 389   | <b>50</b>                | 50                   | 2,000    | 56.5  | Yes         | No      |                  |

**DEVELOPMENT PRESSURE**

Points awarded to entire parcel based on proximity to: a sewer district, water district, or State/County highway; plus, any known/suspected developer interest in said parcel. For multi-parcel applications, points are averaged.

**2017–2018 PDR Applications Scores from Agricultural Enhancement Plan**

| App. # | Ag Plan Ratings |                   |                      | Near PDR | Total Acres | In SFPA | In Pdlfd. Green. |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|---------|------------------|
|        | Soils           | Natural Resources | Development Pressure |          |             |         |                  |
| 11     | 566.8           | 50                | <b>400</b>           | 0        | 133.8       | No      | No               |
| 8      | 352.8           | 150               | <b>250</b>           | 2,000    | 103.7       | Yes     | No               |
| 1      | 414.7           | 100               | <b>250</b>           | 0        | 55.5        | No      | No               |
| 5      | 1,768.8         | 50                | <b>150</b>           | 400      | 465.5       | Yes     | Yes              |
| 2      | 293.5           | 100               | <b>100</b>           | 400      | 56.8        | Yes     | No               |
| 3      | 388.5           | 50                | <b>50</b>            | 2,000    | 56.5        | Yes     | No               |
| 4      | 462             | 100               | <b>50</b>            | 0        | 64          | Yes     | No               |
| 7      | 406.8           | 100               | <b>16.67</b>         | 0        | 182.8       | Yes     | No               |
| 10     | 879.6           | 100               | <b>0</b>             | 0        | 186         | Yes     | Yes              |
| 6      | 231.5           | 150               | <b>0</b>             | 0        | 80.6        | Yes     | No               |

**PROXIMITY TO PRESERVED LAND**

Points awarded to entire parcel based on proximity to land that is already protected by a PDR. For multi-parcel applications, points are averaged.

**2017–2018 PDR Applications Scores from Agricultural Enhancement Plan**

| App. # | Ag Plan Ratings |                   |                      | Near PDR     | Total Acres | In SFPA | In Pdlfd. Green. |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|------------------|
|        | Soils           | Natural Resources | Development Pressure |              |             |         |                  |
| 3      | 388.6           | 50                | 50                   | <b>2,000</b> | 56.5        | Yes     | No               |
| 8      | 352.8           | 150               | 250                  | <b>2,000</b> | 103.7       | Yes     | No               |
| 5      | 1,768.8         | 50                | 150                  | <b>400</b>   | 465.5       | Yes     | Yes              |
| 2      | 293.6           | 100               | 100                  | <b>400</b>   | 56.8        | Yes     | No               |
| 10     | 879.6           | 100               | 0                    | <b>0</b>     | 186         | Yes     | Yes              |
| 11     | 566.8           | 50                | 400                  | <b>0</b>     | 133.8       | No      | No               |
| 4      | 462             | 100               | 50                   | <b>0</b>     | 64          | Yes     | No               |
| 1      | 414.7           | 100               | 250                  | <b>0</b>     | 55.5        | No      | No               |
| 6      | 231.5           | 150               | 0                    | <b>0</b>     | 80.6        | Yes     | No               |
| 7      | 406.8           | 100               | 16.67                | <b>0</b>     | 182.8       | Yes     | No               |

**LOCATION INSIDE/OUTSIDE OF PROTECTION AREA**

Applications are given a “Yes” or “No” score for the parcel (parcel’s) location(s) inside or outside of both the Strategic Farmland Protection Area and the Padelford Brook Greenway.

**2017–2018 PDR Applications Scores from Agricultural Enhancement Plan**

| App. # | Ag Plan Ratings |                   |                      | Near PDR | Total Acres | In SFPA | In Pdlfd. Green. |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|---------|------------------|
|        | Soils           | Natural Resources | Development Pressure |          |             |         |                  |
| 5      | 1,768.8         | 50                | 150                  | 400      | 465.5       | Yes     | Yes              |
| 10     | 879.6           | 100               | 0                    | 0        | 186         | Yes     | Yes              |
| 7      | 406.8           | 100               | 16.67                | 0        | 182.8       | Yes     | No               |
| 8      | 352.8           | 150               | 250                  | 2,000    | 103.7       | Yes     | No               |
| 6      | 231.5           | 150               | 0                    | 0        | 80.6        | Yes     | No               |
| 4      | 462             | 100               | 50                   | 0        | 64          | Yes     | No               |
| 2      | 293.6           | 100               | 100                  | 400      | 56.8        | Yes     | No               |
| 3      | 388.6           | 50                | 50                   | 2,000    | 56.5        | Yes     | No               |
| 11     | 566.8           | 50                | 400                  | 0        | 133.8       | No      | No               |
| 1      | 414.7           | 100               | 250                  | 0        | 55.5        | No      | No               |

**10. 2017–2018 RANKINGS**

13 total reviewers  
 Average of 12 scores  
 Lowest score deleted

| <u>Application</u>      | <u>Address</u>                 | <u>Average Score</u> |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Kim-Mar Farms (Stryker) | 4 parcels                      | 166                  |
| Purdy                   | 1850 Sand Hill Road            | 152                  |
| Bucher                  | 2666 Cooley Road               | 139                  |
| Johnson                 | 3990 Middle Cheshire Road      | 136                  |
| Damann                  | Rossier Road                   | 131                  |
| Outhouse                | 5620 County Road 30            | 128                  |
| Riley                   | 3594 Woolhouse Road            | 127                  |
| Westbrook/Lacrosse      | SR 21 and Middle Cheshire Road | 123                  |
| Ship                    | 4620 North Road                | 112                  |
| Burkard/Simmons         | 5255 West Avenue Extension     | 106                  |

See attached table.

**11. ADJOURNMENT**

© A motion was made by MS. MARTHALLER, seconded by MR. STRYKER, that the meeting be adjourned.

Motion carried by voice vote.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

\_\_\_\_\_  
John M. Robortella L.S.